Editor's Message

I regularly participate in conversations across Canada where the need for more environmental public health evidence is discussed. It was recently announced that much of the environmental public health legislation in Ontario will be reviewed and possibly renewed. The process will take an evidence-based approach where any validation of current regulations or changes that will be required will be informed by published evidence.

I was encouraged to hear that this approach will be employed; however, I remain concerned that the amount of evidence is not robust. The approach taken is sound, but can only be supported where there is significant high-quality research that can be used to set direction.

This brings me to a point I made many times—the more information we continue to publish, the more we can share

stories about successful or interesting environmental public health practices. This increases the knowledge level of all involved and can help shape future environmental public health practice.

The *Environmental Health Review* received six technical articles within the past six weeks. These have or are currently undergoing peer-review so they can be considered in future issues of this journal. We are continuing to seek high-quality articles for publication, so please keep them coming. We hope to have the *Environmental Health Review* included in various aggregators such as PubMed once we have a critical mass of peer-reviewed articles published.

Andrew Papadopoulos
Editor-in-Chief